	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/714,359	MOSER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dionne A. Walls	1731

All Participants:

(1) _Dionne A. Walls_.

(2) _Sean McDermott_.

Date of Interview: _17 March 2005_

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
_308_

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to place the Application in condition for Allowance, Examiner asked if claim 308 could be canceled since it contained "linear stroke" language that would lead to potential 112-1 issues. Applicant's representative, Mr. McDermott agreed, and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment.